## Pupil premium strategy statement: St Joseph's Catholic Primary School 2017-18

1. Summary information							
School	St Joseph's	Catholic Primary School					
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP budget	£27,720	Date of most recent PP Review	n/a		
Total number of pupils	135	Number of pupils eligible for PP	21	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2018		

2. Current attainment						
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)				
% achieving expected or above in reading, writing and maths	N/A	61%				
progress in reading		0.3				
progress in writing		0.2				
progress in maths		0.2				

3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including high ability)
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)
A.	Phonics and reading skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows reading & writing progress in subsequent years.
B.	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across the Key Stage, in key stage 1 and this impacts on outcomes in Key stage 2.
C.	Pupils eligible for PP are not making as much progress in writing and spelling as they should be. This slows down the progress in subsequent years.
Extern	hal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)
D.	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are below the target for all children of 96% This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.

## 4. Outcomes

	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
A.	Improve phonics and reading skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception and year 1 class.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations.
B.	Higher rates of progress across Key stage 1 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	Pupils eligible for PP identified as high ability make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high ability, across Key Stage 2 in maths, reading and writing. Measured in all year groups through Rising star assessments, maths hub assessments and end of unit tests.
C.	Improve writing and spelling skills for pupils eligible for PP.	Pupils eligible for PP in Key Stage 1 & 2 are making rapid progress by the end of the year, so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations. Measured by Rising star assessments, end of year spelling assessments, moderating writing conferences and use of thrass.
D.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 10% or below. Overall PP attendance improves from 95% to 96% in line with 'other' pupils.

## 5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2017-18

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

## i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?
A. Improved phonics and reading skills in Reception  C. Improved writing and spelling skills in Key Stage 1	Embed word aware across school  Key staff to attend CPF based on Teaching Backwards & cascade to colleagues Talk For Writing	We want to invest some of the PP in longer term change which will help all pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest approaches are successful way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school.  Embedding Word aware approaches across the school –anchor/goldilocks and Step on to increase vocabulary	Course selected using evidence of effectiveness e.g Teaching Backwards. Use INSET to deliver training. Peer observation of attendees' classes after the course, to embed learning Lessons from training embedded in school feedback policy. Daily phonics practice is seen in Key Stage 1 classrooms and Spelling & Vocab Ninja in Key Stage 2 classrooms. Daily sustained writing takes place in all classrooms.	Executive Head and Head of School	Nov 2017
B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	Inset on providing stretch for high attaining pupils, especially in maths using Bar method Purchase of CPG Reading, GPS & Maths workbooks to aid practise of skills at home	High ability pupils eligible for PP are making less progress than other higher attaining pupils. We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'. We want to train teachers in practices to provide stretch and encouragement for these pupils.	Use PD day to deliver training. Observation & work scrutiny to ensure all children are being challenged	Inclusion manager	Jan 2018
C. QFT by new staff & NQT	Mentoring of NQT by expert member of staff	To main consistency in the quality of teaching for all staff new to school so those approaches that have been adopted in last two years are maintained e.g. Talk4writing; Word aware; Maths Scheme as they have internal data showing these strategies have been successful	CPD to support implementation of strategies	Head of school Mentor	
	I		Total but	dgeted cost	£430

Desired outcome	Chosen	What is the evidence and rationale	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will
	action/approach	for this choice?	implemented well?	Ctail Idaa	you review implement ation?
A. Improved phonics and reading skills in reception & KS 1  B. Improved writing and spelling skills KS 1	Small group provision for phonics and early reading skills Intervention for children in Reception.  Small group provision for writing and 1:1 word wasp provision for spelling.  Small group and 1:1 provision for reading-dancing bears and apples and pears and toe by toe.	Some of the students need targeted support to catch up. This is a programme which has been independently evaluated and shown to be effective in other schools.	Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. Regular contact with all staff to check programmes are working for individuals.  Tracking of progress through RS reading comprehension & phonics screening	HoS	Jan 2018
B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils, especially in maths	Weekly small group sessions in maths for Y2 & year 6 high-attaining pupils with experienced teacher, in addition to standard lessons and additional sessions led by class teacher in PPA time to stretch more able pupils  Weekly small group maths/literacy interventions led by experienced TA's directed by teachers	We want to provide extra support to maintain high attainment. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as Visible Learning by John Hattie and the EEF Toolkit.	Extra preparation time and TA time paid for out of PP budget.  Impact overseen by Inclusion Manager  Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns or questions about the additional sessions.  Experienced teacher guided by class teacher on areas to improve and stretch. (voluntary capacity)  Weekly learning conversations with PP pupils & classteacher to address misconceptions	HoS	Mar 2018
iii. Other approach	<b>D</b> S		Total bud	dgeted cost	£39,670
Desired outcome	Chosen	What is the evidence and rationale	How will you oncurs it is	Staff lead	When will
Desired Outcome	action/approach	for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Stall lead	you review

					implement ation?
D. Increased attendance rates	Head Teacher to monitor pupils and follow up quickly on absences. First day response provision.	We can't improve attainment for children if they aren't actually attending school. NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step.	Head etc. will collaborate to ensure new provision and standard school processes work smoothly together. Weekly attendance awards, regular discussions and meetings with schools EWO and regular discussions with parents where attendance is poor.	HoS & Executive head	Half termly
Total budgeted cost					Attendance awards estimate £125

i. Quality of teaching for a  Desired outcome  Chose action/		2015/16  Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	
Desired outcome Chose	sen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lassons laarnad	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lassons laarnad	•
		success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
by year 1 phonics teaching screening & KS 1 SATs class rea	matic phonics ing & whole	Phonic screening in line with national average KS 1 reading 90% at expected standard compared with 76% nationally	Will we continue.	£560

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Support for year 2 & 6 pupils to ensure no gaps in learning	Targeted support by qualified teacher using planned programme.	Pupil premium children and other children showed improvements in all areas of the curriculum reflected in the SATS results.	Will we continue – small group targeted teaching allowed individual gaps to be addressed	£2,450
Support for specific pupils to be in line with/in excess of their predictions set	Phonics programmes KS1 and 2 (Dancing Bears, Apples & Pears, Acceleread/Accelerwrite, Toe by Toe Spelling Programmes in KS1 and 2 (word wasp) Speech and Language Programme Elklan Regular reading with vulnerable groups	Mixed: many but not all are in line with age related expectations in most areas	These will be continued, but will be regularly looked at and amended to check that they are working for all individuals.	£24,815

iii. Other approach Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Achievement and attainment supported by parents/carers engagement	continuation of Learning conversations.	Parents and pupils are clearer about future goals and support at home is more targeted including help with reading and homework – barriers to learning discussed and gaps filled – equal impact for all pupils	This will be continued and is good practice to involve all parents in the school community.	£19,000

Engagement in and enjoyment of learning inside and outside of school promoted and faciliated	Music lessons funded Curriculum enrichment trips / visitors to school – participation subsidised SATS books paid for	Number of pupils have accessed music tuition and taken part in variety of trips including residential trips	We will continue to fund music lessons as well as SATs books for year 2 and 6 children on PP. We will continue to fund a variety of trips and enrichments.	£2,447
Improve attendance	Regular tracking, early intervention, attendance awards Provision of transport & breakfast club	Mixed: some attendance and attitudes for learning improved	We will continue our school weekly attendance awards and regular discussions with parents that don't have children that regularly attend.	£428
Ensure pupils have sense of inclusion and vital minerals and vitamins for growth	Provide milk and set of uniform each academic year and breakfast and after school club	Pupils have sense of well-being and improved self-esteem	We will continue to provide before school and after school clubs for PP children and provide milk and fruit also.	£762